

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/772,027	01/29/2001		Kenji Shigeki	F-6810	9064
7:	590	11/29/2004		EXAMINER	
Jordan and Ha			TREAT, WILLIAM M		
122 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2183	
		,		DATE MAIL ED: 11/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	•		W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office A - 41 O	09/772,027	SHIGEKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William M. Treat	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	September 2004.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-11 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	. · · · · · · ·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ∈	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/1, 12/24, 1/29. 	_	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	
	, — —	_	

Application/Control Number: 09/772,027 Page 2

Art Unit: 2183

1. Claims 1 and are presented for examination.

- 2. Claims 3-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/2/2004.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Applicants' use of the phrase, "A logic integrated circuit <u>such as</u> a field programmable gate array having a CPU core" renders applicants' claims indefinite. The test for definiteness under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is whether "those skilled in the art would understand what is claimed when the claim is read in light of the specification." Orthokinetics, Inc. v. Safety Travel Chairs, Inc., 806 F.2d 1565, 1576, 1 USPQ2d 1081, 1088 (Fed. Cir. 1986). While there is language in applicants' specification which might indicate applicants intended "field programmable gate array having a CPU core" as a claim limitation there is other language in the last paragraph of applicants' specification immediately preceding their claims which indicates they did not intend the language to be limiting. Therefore, it is not possible to determine definitely what applicant is claiming.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Glickman (WO 91/11765).
- 8. To the extent possible given applicants' indefiniteness in their claim language, the examiner has determined Glickman teaches the limitations of applicants' claim 1.
- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Pappalardo et al. (EPO 0 926 589 A1).
- 10. To the extent possible given applicants' indefiniteness in their claim language, the examiner has determined Pappalardo teaches the limitations of applicants' claim 1.
- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Halverson et al. (Patent No. 5,574,930).
- 13. Burke (Patent No. 6,704,816).
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William M. Treat at telephone number (571) 272-4175. The examiner works at home on Wednesdays but may normally be reached on Wednesdays by leaving a voice message using his office phone number. The examiner also works a flexible schedule but may normally be reached in the afternoon and evening on three of the four remaining weekdays.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/772,027

Art Unit: 2183

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Was I

WILLIAM M. TREAT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4